GRISCOM MORGAN
YELLOW SPRINGS, OHIO, 45387
P.O. Box 207

found after I replied 10/18

October 8, 1983

Dear Hal:

Thank you very much for your letter and its advice. Your criticism of my opening paragraph is much needed; I wanted to make the first sentence strong, which was right, but it needed to be precise and accurate, which I failed to make it. The letter has been in process; I have been seeking criticism of it and working on improving it. I think it has work to do. The chairman of the Progressive Party in New York has been impressed with it and is looking for ways in which he can put the Kennedy assassination before the public. I have sent to some of the people I sent the first copy corrections and amplifications which some of them have expressed appreciation for.

I thought a good deal about using Haldermen's book. When the book was shown to me I expected it to be Nixon standard of character. As I read it I came to have a sense of real quality that should not be bipassed because of his involvement in a world of such evil. His"innocence"-as in assuming that the coverup of the assassination conspiracy was a coverup of Castro-ite reprisal-is typical of so many decent people, and to be able to present it along with the picture of Castro's real relationship to Kennedy helps show the misconception that so many Americans have been sold on.

I conceive of my letter as one to waken certain people who are ready to read it and see the proof and the truth---as the Progressive Party chairman did. Each time I find an improvement to make it goes into each such letter I send off thereafter.

A team of assassination researchers I have been well impressed with is Alan Weberman and Robert Sibley. What do your know of them?

As a provisional modification of the first sentence in my letter the following might be in order: "
There is conclusive evidence that the assassination of President John F. Kennedy was a conspiracy engaged in by high-placed people in a number of powerful government agencies, and that major agencies of the government engaged in the coverup. of the coup d'etat.
The officers of diverse agencies who gathered at the autopsy wereaparty for one reason or another.

I want to get other criticisms that come to your mind.
I hope things are not so difficult for you these days. I have a litthe leaway if it could be helpful

Sincerely, Gris

Griscom Morgan